22nd November 1955]

Sri T. C. NARAYANAN NAMBIAR : That we the people of Kerala including Travancore and Cochin State are not against the utilization of surplus water that is flowing into the sea if it is a fact?. But the point is whether there is any excess water at all? Have our Government found any necessity for having a joint examination? Otherwise, it will be only a one party examination of the question. I wish to know, on what basis the Government have prepared a scheme costing Rs. 32 crores without even knowing whether there is excess water at all?

Sri B. K. NALLALSWAMI : On a point of order, Sir. I wish to know whether any debate is allowed during question time?

The Hon. Sri R. SHANMUGA RAJESWARA SETHUPATHI : It is definitely presumed that a very large quantity of water is going to waste into the sea. On that basis we have proposed these things. It is for the Government of Travancore–Cochin State to say that it is not so and that they require the water available. We do not want the water required by them. Not even a drop of water required by them will be taken away by us. But all the waters superfluous to their needs and going to waste into the sea can only be expected to be tapped. Of course, our expectations are based on tentative findings and that I have already told the House. The hon. Member need not excite himself over the fact that we are making a claim fantastically. We are referring the matter to the Planning Commission and it is up to them to accede to our request or not.

Sri P. CHELLATHURAI : திருவாங்கூர்-கொச்சி இராஜ்யத்திற்குப் பயன்படாது. ஆனால் சென்னை இராஜ்யத்திற்கு மிகவும் பயன்படத்தக்க பரம்பையாறு ஆலடி பரம்பையாறு போன்ற திட்டம் போன்றவைகளை திருவாங்கூர்-கொச்சி சர்க்கார் வேண்டுமென்றே எதிர்த்தால், சென்னை அரசாங்கம் எடுக்கப்போகும் நடவடிக்கை எதுவாக இருக்கும் என்று அறியலாமா ?

The Hon. Sri R. SHANMUGA RAJESWARA SETHUPATHI : இப்பொழுது எல்லாவற்றையுமே மத்திய சர்க்காரால் நியமிக்கப்பட்டிருக்கும் பிளானிங் கமிஷனின் பொறுப்பில் விட்டு வைத்திருக்கிறோம். இம்மாதிரி தகராறுகள் ஏற்படாமல் தடுக்கவே அவர்கள் ஒரு சட்டம் நிறைவேற்றிக் கொண்டிருக்கிறார்கள். ஆகவே அந்தச் சட்டத்தின் பிரகாரம் நமக்குச் சேரவேண்டிய திட்டங்களையும் அவர்கள் நிறைவேற்றிக் கொடுப்பார்கள் என்றே நம்புகிறோம்.

Mr. SPEAKER : As there are about 50 questions set down for being answered to-day; with the leave of the House I will take fifteen more minutes and finish them.

## *Harijan Welfare School for Kilputhupattu village*

* 2716 *Q.*—Sri B. K. NALLASWAMI (on behalf of Sri M. Venugopala Goundar) : Will the Hon. the Minister for Religious Endowments and Transport be pleased to state with reference to the answer to question No. 750, dated 16th February 1955 the steps taken so far to open a Harijan Welfare School at Kilputhupattu village, Marakkanam firka, Tindivanam taluk?

[22nd November 1955

The Hon. Sri B. PARAMESWARAN : The Government do not propose to open a school at Kilputhupattu village, South Arcot district, during 1955–56.

*Executive Officer, Turaiyur Panchayat*

* 2717 *Q.*—Sri T. SHANMUGAM (on behalf of Sri P. Rangaswami Reddiar) : Will the Hon. the Minister for Local Administration be pleased to state—

What are the extraordinary circumstances, if any, for the frequent orders of the transfer and cancellation of the orders of transfer, in the case of the Executive Officer of Turaiyur Panchayat?

The Hon. Sri S. S. RAMASAMY PADAYACHI : The transfer of the Executive Officer, Turaiyur Panchayat to Sendamangalam Panchayat ordered in November 1954, for administrative reasons was cancelled at his personal request. In March 1955, he was transferred to Ariyalur Panchayat but subsequently reposted to Turaiyur in April 1955 on public grounds.

Sri T. SHANMUGAM : ஒரே ஆபீஸர் எத்தனை முறை மாற்றப்பட்டார், அந்த ஆர்டர் எவ்வளவு முறை ரத்து செய்யப்பட்டது ?

The Hon. Sri S. S. RAMASAMY PADAYACHI : ஒரு தடவை மாற்றப்பட்டு, ஒரு தடவை ரத்து செய்யப்பட்டது.

Sri V. R. KRISHNA AYYAR : The Hon. Minister said that the transfer and subsequent cancellation was made on public grounds. May I know whether sometimes private grounds also were taken into consideration?

The Hon. Sri S. S. RAMASAMY PADAYACHI : Yes, Sir, on both grounds.

*District Planning Boards*

* 2718 *Q.*—Sri K. KUNHI MOHAMED SHAFEE : Will the Hon'ble the Minister for Finance be pleased to state, with reference to the answer to a supplementary question under question No. 650 answered on 18th July 1953, when the Government propose to constitute a District Planning Board for Malabar?

The Hon. Sri C. SUBRAMANIAM : A District Planning Board has already been constituted in the district.

* 2719 *Q.*—Sri M. NARAYANA KURUP : Will the Hon. the Minister for Finance be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether District Planning Boards exist in all districts of the State; and

(*b*) if not, which are the districts where there are no Planning Boards and the reasons therefor?